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Motivation

- Textbook Multigrid Efficiency (TME): Solutions attained in a few (< 10) minimal work units

  A minimal work unit is the operation count in one target-grid residual evaluation

- Motivation: Develop TME algorithms for time-dependent viscous flows applied to flow control applications
  - Builds upon earlier incompressible (Pressure-Poisson) formulations in generalized coordinates
  - Inviscid (Roberts, Sidilkover & Swanson, 1999)
  - Viscous (Swanson, 2001)
Current Objective

• Current Objective: Overcome difficulties encountered in applications associated with stagnation regions
  – Non-optimal asymptotic convergence rates
  – Poor coarse-grid corrections
  – Reduced efficiency for large domain sizes (coarse grids, high stretching)

• Convergence difficulties also experienced in stagnation for preconditioned compressible formulations (e.g., Turkel, Vatsa & Radespiel, 1996)
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Incompressible Navier-Stokes (INS) equations

- Pressure-Poisson Formulation:

\[ u \partial_x^u u + v \partial_y^u u - \nu \Delta^h u + \partial_x^c p = 0, \]
\[ u \partial_x^u v + v \partial_y^u v - \nu \Delta^h v + \partial_y^c p = 0, \]
\[ (\partial_x^c u)^2 + 2(\partial_y^c u)(\partial_x^c v) + (\partial_y^c v)^2 + \Delta^h p = 0. \]

- Efficient relaxation over a major part of the domain

\[ L \delta q = -R^h(q^n) \quad \delta q = q^{n+1} - q^n \]

- Principal linearization \( L \) is upper triangular (decoupled relaxation)
Principal Linearization  
(From the Standpoint of Relaxation)

- The principal linearization $\mathbf{L}$ is derived from the full Newton linearization by removing subprincipal terms.

- **Scalar equation:** Retain terms that make major contributions to the residual.

- **Systems:** Retain terms that make major contributions to the determinant of the matrix operator.
Elements of TME : Principal Linearization

(Example - Nonlinear Convection Operator: \( u \partial_x^h u \))

Full Linearization: \( [u \partial_x^h + \partial_x^h u] \delta u \)

High-Frequency Contribution (local mode analysis):

\[
\frac{1}{h} \left[ u \partial_x^h + h \partial_x^h u \right] \delta u \\
O(u) \quad O(hu_x)
\]

Regular flow region (\( hu_x < u \)) : \( L = u \partial_x^h \)

Stagnation flow region (\( hu_x \approx u \)) : \( L = u \partial_x^h + (\partial_x^h u) \)
Principal Linearization

- Principal Linearization in the regular flow field:

\[ L = \begin{bmatrix} Q^u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q^u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta^h \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ Q^u = u \partial_x^u + v \partial_y^u - \nu \Delta^h \]

\[ \det L = (Q^u)^2 \Delta^h \]

- Principal Linearization Near Stagnation (Full Linearization):

\[ L = \begin{bmatrix} Q^u + (\partial_x^u u) & (\partial_y^u u) & \partial_x^c \\
(\partial_x^u v) & Q^u + (\partial_y^u v) & \partial_y^c \\
2(\partial_x^c u) \partial_x^c + 2(\partial_x^c v) \partial_y^c & 2(\partial_y^c u) \partial_x^c + 2(\partial_y^c v) \partial_y^c & \Delta^h \end{bmatrix} \]
Relaxation Strategy for Factorizable Scheme

- The efficiency goal is the “per relaxation” convergence rates associated with scalar factors in the regular flow field.

- Global: decoupled relaxation in the regular flow field.

- Local: coupled relaxation near boundaries/singularities
  - The local principal linearization is closer to a full linearization and generally not easily analyzed.
  - A different discretization might be used.

- General approach is to tailor local relaxation to local properties of the solution.
Relaxation Framework

- Linearized equations relaxed at each level

\[ L\delta(q) = -R(q) - \frac{\partial R}{\partial q}\delta q \]

- Global Relaxation: alternating line-implicit
  - Pressure-equation Gauss-Seidel relaxation (velocity is fixed)
  - Relaxation (marching) of momentum equations

- Local Relaxation: equations are solved simultaneously
  - at 5 points near the body surface or outflow
  - at 3 points near the inflow boundary
Coupling of Global and Local Relaxation
(At a Boundary)
Stagnation Flow Model Problems
Inviscid Stagnation Flow: Boundary Conditions

• Differential Conditions I - primitive equation set:
  – Inflow: Given $u, v$
  – Outflow: Given $p$
  – Tangency: Zero normal velocity

• Differential Conditions II - Pressure-Poisson formulation:
  – Inflow: Continuity equation, $u_x + v_y = 0$
  – Continuity equation enforced only to within discretization error: $Q(u_x + v_y) = 0$

• Other necessary considerations
  – Numerical closure equations
  – Conservation law equations at tangency boundaries
An Example of the Difficulties Near Stagnation

Outflow Boundary Approaching Cylinder
Upper Triangular Solves + Boundary Solves

Shown above: Inflow @ R = 5 ; Outflow @ R = 1.05
An Example of the Difficulties Near Stagnation
Outflow Boundary Approaching Cylinder
Upper Triangular Solves + Boundary Solves

Outflow: (R=1.05)

Outflow: (R=1.50)
An Example of the Difficulties Near Stagnation

Inflow (R=5) / Outflow (R=1.05)
Upper Triangular Solve + Boundary Solves

Single Grid

2-Grid FAS (1,0) Multigrid
(avg. residual reduction = 0.2 per cycle)
Analysis of Relaxation: Plane Stagnation

Exact Solution: \( u = -x > 0, \ v = +y > 0, \ u_x = -1, \ v_y = +1 \)

- Examine behavior of upper triangular solve
  (L in regular flow + readily available terms)

\[
L = \begin{bmatrix}
Q^u + u_x & 0 & \partial_c^c \\
0 & Q^u + v_y & \partial_y^c \\
0 & 0 & \Delta^h
\end{bmatrix}
\]

- Analysis of iteration is a variable coefficient problem

\[
L \delta \epsilon = -\frac{\partial R}{\partial q}(\epsilon^n) \quad \delta \epsilon = \epsilon^{n+1} - \epsilon^n
\]

- Several constant coefficient approximations analyzed
Forms of Analysis: Plane Stagnation

- Full space (Fourier) analysis: prediction of possible worst amplifications at initial iterations

- Mode analysis with boundary conditions: prediction of asymptotic convergence rates and penetration distances for characteristic (velocity) direction
  - Differential equations only
  - Periodic in single direction

- Domain size $L$ constrained for relevancy of constant coefficient approximation to variable coefficient problem

$$|u_xL| \leq u \quad |v_yL| \leq v$$
Results of Full Space Analysis

• Eigenvalues of error amplification matrix are \( f(N, v/u) \):

\[
\lambda = \frac{2}{\theta_x^2 + \theta_y^2} \left[ \frac{v_y \theta_y^2}{Q + v_y} + \frac{u_x \theta_x^2}{Q + u_x} \right] \quad \theta_x, \theta_y \in [-\pi, \pi]
\]

• Uniformly good smoothing rates were found (maximum 1/10)

• In cross-characteristic direction (normal to velocity), the lowest frequency contribution becomes:

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \hat{Q} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda = 2
\]
Results of Mode Analysis with Boundary Conditions

- Fast asymptotic error decay for \( v = 0 \)
- Initial error amplified for a few steps
- Analysis prompted assessment of diagonally dominant \( L \) for momentum equations
  - Along symmetry plane, \( |L| = |\frac{\partial R}{\partial q}| = (Q - u_x)\Delta \)
  - \((L)_{1,1} = Q + |u_x| \quad (L)_{2,2} = Q + |v_y| \)
  - Implementation was somewhat effective in eliminating instability of nonlinear problem (both plane and cylinder stagnation)
An Example of the Difficulties Near Stagnation
Outflow Boundary Approaching Cylinder
Upper Triangular Solves

Outflow: (R=1.025)
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Numerical Closure Equations : Outflow Boundary

(Pressure Specified at $x = \text{Constant}$)

- Original closure :
  - Upwind discretization of momentum equations
    \[ Q^u u + \partial_x u p = 0 \quad Q^v v + \partial_y v p = 0 \]

- Revised closure :
  - Upwind discretization of $v$-momentum equation
    \[ Q^u v + \partial_y v p = 0 \]
  - Compact discretization of continuity equation
    \[ \partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0 \]

- Both conditions yield asymptotically accurate schemes but revised closure allows computation on much coarser grids
Effect of Discrete Boundary Closure
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Stagnation Cylinder Flow
Residual Convergence

$FV(2,1)$ cycle

Inviscid cylinder (theta=90-30 to 90+30)
Implicit: line-theta (T-->B) p relaxation
Implicit: line-theta (T-->B) u,v relaxation
Boundary solve(5-boundary-lines)
## Stagnation Flow

### FMG-1 Algorithm, Algebraic Error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid</th>
<th>Discretization Error</th>
<th>Alg./Discr. Err</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17x17</td>
<td>.320E-03</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33x33</td>
<td>.756E-04</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65x65</td>
<td>.182E-04</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129x129</td>
<td>.456E-05</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concluding Remarks

- Several issues isolated and improved in stagnation flows
  - Discrete closure conditions at boundary
  - Instability of decoupled solves in deceleration region

- Improved relaxations developed
  - Interior uncoupled line relaxations locally coupled more strongly at boundary
  - Diagonally dominant relaxation for momentum equations

- TME efficiency attained (10 minimum work units)
  - FMG-1 algebraic errors below discretization errors
  - Asymptotic rate of elliptic factor attained